

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

TO: CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT  
BRANCH/PD/OL

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE  
Imagery Interpretation Research Program

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE  
☒ YES ☐ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 100%  
PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - 100%

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT ☒ YES ☐ NO  
IF YES, CHECK TYPE  
☒ COST ☒ AWARD FEE ☒ PERFORMANCE ☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:  
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.  
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING  
2. ☒ EXCELLENT  
3. ☐ VERY GOOD

4. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE  
5. ☐ AVERAGE  
6. ☐ MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE

7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☐ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED  
☒ CLOSE OUT

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE  
☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MANUALS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FINAL REPORT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SPECIAL TOOLING		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

DIVISION

25X1

25X1

25X1

NARRATIVE REPORT

☐ INTERIM☒ FINAL

1. This report covers the period of performance from 17 November 1968 to 17 January 1969.
2. During the overall period covered by this report, the contractor completed all work required under the contract.
3. A monthly progress report dated 12 December 1968 (DK-353) was received as well as a final report dated January 1969 (DK-368).
4. Based on a review of the previous contract inspection reports plus personal observation of the contractor's performance during the period covered by this report, an overall performance rating of EXCELLENT is recommended. Additional factors considered in arriving at this performance rating were the ingenuity, flexibility and efficiency of the contractor in responding to the changing schedule demands inherent in this type of contract.

25X1

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ CONFIDENTIAL☒ SECRET